INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD

Investigator Guidance Series

THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

EXEMPT RESEARCH

Description

Exempt research is no greater than minimal risk and fits within an exemption category (see appendices for exemption categories). These studies are exempt from most of the requirements in the federal regulations for protection of human research subjects. These studies must also follow state laws and University policies applicable to research. Studies that qualify for exemption must adhere to principles of sound research design and ethics.

Exemption determinations are made by a designated IRB reviewer. In limited circumstances, investigators may obtain an exemption determination using a guided application process in the Electronic Research Integrity and Compliance Administration system (ERICA). Guided exemptions are only offered for eligible projects based on answers in the new study application, and cannot be requested by investigators. Investigators have the option to request that an IRB reviewer make the exemption determination rather than relying on the guided application process.

Studies that receive an exemption do not expire and continuing review **is not** required. In order to keep the study open and active with the University of Utah IRB and Human Research Protection Program (HRPP), however, an Annual HRPP Progress Update must be submitted.

The IRB must review any substantive changes to exempt studies via an amendment application to ensure the study still qualifies for exempt status considering the changes. Substantive changes include, but are not limited to the following:

- Changes that increase the risk to participants or change the risk:benefit ratio of the study
- Changes that affect a participant's willingness to participate in the study
- Changes to study procedures or study components that are not covered by the exemption category determined for this study (listed in <u>Appendix A</u>)
- Changes to the study sponsor
- Changes to the targeted participant population
- Changes to the stamped consent document(s)

If there are questions about whether an amendment consists of substantive changes, investigators should contact the IRB Office.

Exempt studies must adhere to the University of Utah IRB reporting requirements for unanticipated problems and deviations. Exempt studies must be closed with the IRB once the research activities are complete.

Research Regulated by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)

The Department of Health and Human Services has identified certain categories of research involving human subjects that qualify for exemption from certain federal regulations applicable to research. At the University of Utah, the IRB makes federal exemption determinations (categories 1-8) according to 45 CFR 46.104.

- Subpart B (Pregnant women, fetuses and neonates): Each of the exemptions may be applied to research subject to subpart B if the conditions of the exemption are met.
- Subpart C (Prisoners): The exemptions at this section do not apply to research subject to subpart C, except for research aimed at involving a broader subject population that only incidentally includes prisoners.
- Subpart D (Children): The exemptions may be applied to research subject to subpart D except for category 3. For category 2, children may only be involved as outlined below.

Investigator Guidance Series

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

Research Regulated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

The Food and Drug Administration does not have categories of research that qualify for exempt status like those listed by DHHS. The FDA does not exempt any research under its jurisdiction from IRB review except in limited circumstances (e.g., emergency use, taste and food quality studies, etc.).

Research that is Not Federally Funded or Regulated

The University of Utah has elected to apply its Federal-wide Assurance to research sponsored by federal agencies that require adherence to the Common Rule. In the case of non-federally funded or regulated human research, the University of Utah IRB has created additional exemption determinations (categories A-E). Research that is determined by the IRB to meet a non-federal exemption category must follow all stipulations for the category and the investigator must adhere to all responsibilities described in this document.

Obtaining Informed Consent in Exempt Research

Informed consent is a practice that helps to ensure that the rights and welfare of participants are protected. The IRB requires that informed consent from participants be obtained when it is reasonable and practicable to do so. Justification for waiving the informed consent process must be outlined in the submission to the IRB.

The informed consent process may or may not include a consent document. The IRB requires that the consent process disclose at least the following information to potential participants:

- That the activity involves research
- A description of the procedures
- That participating is voluntary
- Name and contact information for the investigator

Other information may be provided to the potential participants, as appropriate, for participants to make an informed decision.

Consent documents processed for exempt research are marked with an IRB stamp in the footer; however, because the project does not expire or require continuing review, no expiration date will appear with the stamp. The document may be used for the exempt study until another approved document supersedes it, or until the project is closed. Investigators should only use the most recent version of the document with the IRB approval stamp in the footer.

The University of Utah IRB does not utilize broad consent, therefore exemption categories intended for research using broad consent (exempt categories 7 and 8) are not applied at the University of Utah.

Limited IRB Review

Limited IRB review is required under the 2018 Revised Common Rule for certain exemption categories to ensure privacy and confidentiality protections are in place. All exempt reviews conducted by designated IRB reviewers meet the requirements of a limited IRB review by ensuring that when appropriate, the research plan includes adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.

Investigator Responsibilities Related to Exempt Research

- 1. The investigator submits proposed research to the IRB for review using ERICA. The investigator only begins research activities after documentation of IRB approval or exemption is received.
- 2. The investigator ensures that the study is conducted in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including the HIPAA Privacy Rule, state law, and institutional policy.

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD

Investigator Guidance Series

THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

- 3. The investigator ensures that the study conforms to the principles of sound research ethics, in accordance with principles of the Belmont Report, including but not limited to:
 - a. Ensuring the research presents no more than minimal risk to participants.
 - b. Selecting subjects equitably.
 - c. If there is recording of identifiable information, maintaining the confidentiality of the data.
 - d. If there are interactions with participants, conducting a consent process that will disclose that the activity involves research, a description of the procedures, that participating is voluntary, and the name and contact information for the investigator.
 - e. Maintaining the privacy interest of participants.
 - f. Conducting the research in an ethical manner which does not adversely affect the rights and welfare of the participants.
- 4. The investigator conducts the research in compliance with the protocol as submitted to and exempted by the IRB.
- 5. The investigator obtains approval for any substantive changes to the protocol prior to implementing the changes.
- 6. The investigator adheres to IRB policy for reporting unanticipated problems and deviations.
- 7. The investigator adheres to all other the terms outlined in the Investigator's Statement of Assurance.

References & Links

45 CFR 46.104	https://www.ecfr.gov/on/2018-07-19/title-45/subtitle-A/subchapter-A/part-46
Exempt Research Determination FAQs	<u>https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/guidance/fag/exempt-</u> research-determination/index.html
SOP 401b: Research Activities Exempt from IRB Review	https://irb.utah.edu/quidelines/irb-sops.php

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

Investigator Guidance Series

Appendix A: Federal Exemption Categories 1-8 (45 CFR 46.104)

- 1. Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, that specifically involves normal educational practices that are not likely to adversely impact students' opportunity to learn required educational content or the assessment of educators who provide instruction. This includes most research on regular and special education instructional strategies and research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula or classroom management methods.
- 2. Research that only includes interactions involving educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior (including visual or auditory recording) if at least one of the following criteria is met:
 - i. The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot be readily ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects. Children may be included in the research involving educational tests or the observation of public behavior when the investigator(s) do not participate in the activities observed; or
 - ii. Any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation. Children may be included in the research involving educational tests or the observation of public behavior when the investigator(s) do not participate in the activities observed; or
 - iii. The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can be readily ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, and a limited IRB review is conducted to make the determination that when appropriate, the research plan makes adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data. This section may not be applied to research including children.
- 3. Research involving benign behavioral interventions in conjunction with the collection of information from an adult (children may not be included in this exemption category) subject through verbal or written responses (including data entry) or audiovisual recording if the subject prospectively agrees to the intervention and information collection and at least one of the following criteria is met:
 - The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of i. the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; or
 - ii. Any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; or
 - iii. The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, and a limited IRB review is conducted to make the determination that when appropriate, the research plan makes adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.

For the purpose of this provision, benign behavioral interventions are brief in duration, harmless, painless, not physically invasive, not likely to have a significant adverse lasting impact on the subjects, and the investigator has no reason to think the subjects will find the interventions offensive or embarrassing. Provided all such criteria are met, examples of such benign behavioral interventions would include having the subjects play an online game, having them solve puzzles under various noise

Investigator Guidance Series

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

conditions, or having them decide how to allocate a nominal amount of received cash between themselves and someone else.

If the research involves deceiving the subjects regarding the nature or purposes of the research, this exemption is not applicable unless the subject authorizes the deception through a prospective agreement to participate in research in circumstances in which the subject is informed that he or she will be unaware or misled regarding the nature or purposes of the research.

- 4. Secondary research for which consent is not required. Secondary research uses of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens, if at least one of the following criteria is met:
 - i. The identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens are publicly available; or
 - ii. Information, which may include information about biospecimens, is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, the investigator does not contact the subjects, and the investigator will not re-identify subjects; or
 - The research involves only information collection and analysis involving the investigator's use of identifiable health information when that use is regulated under 45 CFR 160 and 164 for the purposes of "health care operations" or "research" or for public health activities and purposes"; or
 - iv. The research is conducted by, or on behalf of, a Federal department or agency using government-generated or government-collected information obtained for non-research activities, if the research generates identifiable private information that is or will be maintained on information technology that is in compliance with applicable laws/privacy protections.
- 5. Research and demonstration projects that are conducted or supported by a Federal department or agency, or otherwise subject to the approval of department or agency heads (or the approval of the heads of bureaus or other subordinate agencies that have been delegated authority to conduct the research and demonstration projects), and that are designed to study, evaluate, improve or otherwise examine public benefit or service programs, including procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs, possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures or possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.
- 6. Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies:
 - i. If wholesome foods without additives are consumed; or
 - ii. If a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U. S. Department of Agriculture.
- 7. Storage or maintenance for secondary research for which broad consent is required. The University of Utah IRB does not utilize broad consent. Because this exemption category is intended for research using broad consent, this exemption category is not applied at the University of Utah. Storage or maintenance of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens for potential secondary research use if a limited IRB review is conducted and broad consent will be obtained.
- 8. Secondary research for which broad consent is required. The University of Utah IRB does not utilize broad consent. Because this exemption category is intended for research using broad consent, this exemption category is not applied at the University of Utah. Research involving the use of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens for secondary research use, if the following criteria is met:

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD

Investigator Guidance Series

THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

- i. Broad consent for the storage, maintenance, and secondary research use of the identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens was obtained; **and**
- ii. Documentation of informed consent or waiver of documentation of consent was obtained; and
- iii. A limited IRB review is conducted to make the determination that when appropriate, the research plan makes adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data and the research to be conducted is within the scope of the broad consent; and
- iv. The investigator does not include returning individual research results to subjects as part of the study plan. This provision does not prevent an investigator from abiding by any legal requirements to return individual research results.

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

Investigator Guidance Series

Appendix B: Non-Federal Exemption Categories (A-E)

For the following non-Federal exemption categories (A-E), the research must meet the following criteria in addition to all specification of the exemption category:

- Research is not subject to FDA regulations; •
- Research is not federally funded; •
- Research is not contractually or otherwise subject to federal research requirements, • including but not limited to research conducted under the Department of Veterans Affairs or under an NIH Certificate of Confidentiality;
- Research does not involve prisoners as participants;
- Research meets the University's ethical standards governing the conduct of the research, • including appropriate provisions for the protection of privacy and confidentiality when identifiable and coded information are used.
- A. Research involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens or diagnostic specimens, where this information is personally identifiable or coded.
- B. Research involving the collection of blood samples by finger stick, heel stick, ear stick, or venipuncture as follows:
 - From healthy, nonpregnant adults who weigh at least 110 pounds. For these subjects, i. the amounts drawn may not exceed 550 ml [37 Tbsp] in an 8 week period and collection may not occur more frequently than 2 times per week; OR
 - ii. From other adults and children, considering the age, weight, and health of the subjects, the collection procedure, the amount of blood to be collected, and the frequency with which it will be collected. For these subjects, the amount drawn may not exceed the lesser of 50 ml [3.4 Tbsp] or 3 ml per kg [0.28 tsp per lb] in an 8 week period and collection may not occur more frequently than 2 times per week.
- C. Prospective collection of biological specimens for research purposes by noninvasive means.
- D. Collection of data through noninvasive procedures (not involving general anesthesia or sedation) routinely employed in clinical practice, excluding procedures involving x-rays or microwaves. Where medical devices are employed, they must be cleared/approved for marketing. (Studies intended to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the medical device are not eligible for exempt review under this category.)
- E. Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior (including, but not limited to, research or perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior) or research employing survey, interview, oral history, focus group, collection of recordings (voice, video, digital, or image), program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies, unless:
 - i. Information obtained is recorded in such a manner that subjects can be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects and any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research could reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability or reputation.