<< Back Save | | Print...

Continue >>

Conflict of Interest (CoI) Disclosure
• •
Yes No No Clear  Nes Clear
If No, please continue with your review by completing all areas of the checklist.
If Yes, please contact your IRB Coordinator immediately. You will be provided with specific instructions should your conflict of interest be valid. Please complete this COI section only and save this checklist in the appropriate study within the ERICA system.
<ul> <li>Example of personal COI – your spouse, an immediate family member, your advisor</li> <li>Example of academic COI – your student, my research partner/colleague</li> <li>Example of financial COI – income from stock in etc. the Sponsor or company whose business is substantially related to the subject matter of the research.</li> </ul>
Reviewer Description of Conflict of Interest:

<< Back | Print... | Continue >>

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Reviewer Description ▼

Reviewer Description	
<b>Reviewer Description of Study/Study Progress/Amendment:</b> Please provide, in your own words, a description of the study here. You can read this at the meeting in o	order to summarize the study.
	1
	li.
Yes No Clear Does the IRB have the appropriate expertise to review this research?  If no, please contact your IRB coordinator immediately to arrange appropriate contact.	sultation (i.e. ad-hoc consultant reviewer).
<b>For new studies:</b> A risk category (e.g. minimal, moderate) has preliminarily been assigned to this studicategory for the study.	ly by the IRB staff. Document the risk
For continuing reviews and amendments: The risk assessment has been assigned. Continue to the risk assessment will be addressed in a separate portion of the checklist for continuing reviews and amendments.	
The study is minimal risk.	
Minimal risk means that the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in the reset than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psycl	
The study is greater than minimal risk.	
Clear	

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Renewal Checklist ▼

	gulations require the IRB to conduct a continuing review at least annually. This checklist presents items for consideration in order to substantive and meaningful review.
O Yes	Is enrollment appropriate?
O No Clear	Over-enrollment or under enrollment should be justified.
	Are study documents consistent with information discovered during the last approval period?
○ v	<ul> <li>Points to consider:</li> <li>Have there been any new risks identified? If so, are they included in the consent?</li> </ul>
Yes No	<ul> <li>Have there been any withdrawals described that may require a change in study design? If so, is the change made to the study protocol, study application, or the informed consent document?</li> </ul>
Clear	<ul> <li>Have there been any significant new findings that reasonably might affect participants' willingness to continue their involvement in the research? If so, was information provided to participants or should it be provided?</li> </ul>
Yes	Based on the information provided, may the study proceed without an audit or observation of the consent process?
No N/A Clear	• If the IRB is concerned about the conduct of a study, the IRB may consider whether, as part of providing adequate oversight of the study, an active audit is warranted. The IRB also has the authority to observe the consent process. Please see the Board Member Guidance Series: IRB Authority-Observation of Consent and Conduct of Research.
Yes	May the study proceed without verification from sources other than the investigators that no material changes have occurred since previous IRB review?
No Clear	• The IRB may request verification from other sources if the IRB has reasons to doubt the information provided or the information which has been provided is inconsistent and cannot be resolved through communication with the investigator.
Yes No N/A Clear	Are informed consent and parental permission procedures and documentation still appropriate?
Yes No N/A Clear	Is the assent procedure and documentation still appropriate?
Yes No N/A Clear	Do advertisements, flyers, or any other recruitment materials meet current IRB guidelines?
•	Concerns: Jain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Renewal Checklist ▼
Continue >>

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Criteria for Approval -

	Criteria for IRB Approval
	Check here if you are assigned as the second reviewer and may not be able to assess some of the criteria below (e.g. using procedures which are consistent with sound research design). Review of the criteria which you are unable to assess will be deferred to the primary reviewer.
Instruct Mark the	tions: e appropriate box.
• <i>If</i>	you answer "yes", the required criterion is satisfied.
	you answer "no", the required criterion is not satisfied. You may request modifications in the "Specific Concerns" section. instructed, one option must be selected in order to satisfy a required criterion.
In orde	r to approve research, the IRB shall determine that all the following requirements are satisfied.
Risks	
O Yes O No Clea	Are risks to participants minimized by using procedures which are consistent with sound research design and which do not unnecessarily expose participants to risk?
O Yes	
O No Clear	Are risks to participants minimized whenever appropriate by using procedures already being performed on the participants for diagnostic or treatment purposes?
O Yes O No Clear	Are risks to participants reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits, if any, and the importance of knowledge that may reasonably be expected to result?
Equitable	le Selection of Subjects
	Is the selection of participants equitable?
O Yes	<ul> <li>Appropriate inclusion and exclusion criteria for research participants are essential in order to ethically justify human subject research. This may be in regard to race, ethnicity, sex, relevant demographic data, or medical criteria.</li> </ul>
O No	Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be clearly stated and reasonable. Poorly specified inclusion/exclusion criteria may result in inadvertent exclusion of eligible research subjects and an imbalance of or inappropriate enrollment of research subjects.  The state of the
	<ul> <li>If for some extenuating reason, inclusion criteria are not equitable, the investigator must provide justification.</li> <li>Recruitment methods do not adversely affect the equitable selection of participants.</li> </ul>
Will Inf	ormed Consent be obtained?
At least consent	one option must be selected to meet this approval criterion. Select the process the investigator will use to obtain informed ::
□ N/A	The study is closed to enrollment, so informed consent will no longer be obtained.
	rmed consent will be obtained: The investigator will obtain informed consent from prospective participants or the participant's
	esentative (i.e. parent or legally authorized representative).  'Informed Consent Requirements" portion of the checklist will be required.
	Studies involving deception should also meet the criteria for Waiver or Alteration of Informed Consent. If deception is involved in the proposed study, please check "obtaining informed consent" and "requesting informed consent be waived or altered".
waive	rmed consent will not be obtained or some consent elements will be left out: The investigator requests that informed consent be ed or altered for part or all of the study.  'Waiver or Alteration of Informed Consent" portion of the checklist will be required.
	A Waiver of Informed Consent may be used to request that all elements of informed consent will be waived. An example is a retrospective chart or record review where there will be no contact with participants and no documentation of consent.
•	A Waiver of Informed Consent may also be used to request that one or more required elements of consent be removed. An example is when the investigator has asked that the requirement to explain the purpose of the research be waived.

• An A inco	Alteration of Informed Consent means requesting that one or more required elements of consent be altered. An example is when rrect information is disclosed, as might occur in a study using deception.
How will Info	ormed Consent be documented?
At least one consent:	option must be selected to meet this approval criterion. Select the process the investigator will use to obtain informed
N/A The s	tudy is closed to enrollment, so informed consent will no longer be documented.
N/A The in	formed consent process will be waived, so informed consent cannot be documented.
	t <b>Document will be signed:</b> The investigator will document informed consent in writing.  en Documentation of Informed Consent" portion of the checklist will be required.
	umentation of Consent can be obtained by using an informed consent document, parental permission document or short form.
	t <b>Document will not be signed:</b> The investigator requests that the documentation of informed consent be waived. er of Documentation of Consent" portion of the checklist will be required.
wai	aiver of Documentation of Consent may be used to request that the requirement to obtain written documentation of consent be red. Examples are questionnaire cover letters, web-based consents, and consent without obtaining signature, etc. Therefore, a sent process is still in place, but there is no documentation.
Monitoring D	ata to Ensure the Safety of Subjects
One option n	nust be selected to meet this approval criterion.
<ul><li>The study</li></ul>	is minimal risk and a data safety and monitoring plan is not required by the University of Utah IRB.
Adequate Clear	provisions are made for monitoring the data collected to ensure the safety of participants
Provisions to	Protect Privacy
control over th	<ul> <li>to persons and to their interest in controlling access of others to themselves. Privacy can be defined in terms of having e extent, timing and circumstances of sharing oneself (physically, behaviorally, or intellectually) with others.</li> <li>e adequate provisions in place to protect the privacy interests of the participants?</li> <li>In research, privacy typically refers to whether the participant considers it the researcher's business to delve into the participant's life concerning whatever matter is the topic of the research. Whether a subject wishes to give a researcher access to such information depends on the background of the participant, who is sponsoring the research, the context in which the data are to be gathered, and such factors as whether the participant finds the researcher likeable.</li> <li>Points to Consider:         <ul> <li>Will participants be comfortable in the research setting? A protocol involves normal volunteers in an HIV vaccine study. Having participants show up to the general medical clinic may be more comfortable for the volunteers than showing up to the AIDS clinic, because if they show up to the AIDS clinic, they may be worried that other people will incorrectly assume that they have AIDS.</li> <li>Do procedures for identifying participants minimize any invasion of privacy? Your privacy has been invaded when someone accesses your information who you did not want to access your information. Has the researcher considered and minimized the invasion of privacy?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Ways to respect privacy in research:         <ul> <li>Informed consent</li> <li>Knowledge of a participant's culture</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Rapport and sensitivity to individuals
	<ul> <li>Research associates from that culture</li> <li>Consultation with appropriate professionals and peers of participants</li> </ul>
Dunyinian a tra	
. 1041310113 (0	Protect Confidentiality
pertains to the	is about <b>data</b> (not people), <b>and about agreements and procedures for limiting the access of others to data</b> . Confidentiality treatment of information that an individual has disclosed in a relationship of trust and with the expectation that it will not be ers in ways that are inconsistent with the understanding of the original disclosure without permission.
Ha	s the investigator adequately described provisions in place to protect the confidentiality of the participants?
	• The investigator must outline measures to protect confidentiality. However, a promise to maintain confidentiality is not required by regulation.
	<ul> <li>Examples of outlining measures to protect confidentiality without promising confidentiality:</li> <li>In a focus group, the investigator does not promise confidentiality but outline measures intended to protect confidentiality to the extent possible. In addition, the investigator informs participants that other members of the focus group may disclose information. Therefore, the investigator has adequately described provisions in place to protect the confidentiality of participants.</li> </ul>
O Yes	<ul> <li>The investigator states that confidentiality will not be promised. There are no legal or ethical requirements to maintain confidentiality and data release will not cause risk of harm. Therefore, the investigator has adequately described provisions in place to protect the confidentiality of participants.</li> </ul>

Ways to protect confidentiality:		
One option must be selected to meet this approval criterion:  None of the participants are likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence.  Additional safeguards been included in the study to protect the rights and welfare of participants likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence.  *Select the vulnerable population involved in the research below. Not all vulnerable populations are listed below. You may identify another vulnerable population and consider whether additional safeguards have been included to protect their rights and welfare.  *You may refer to the Vulnerable Population page of the application to view the investigator's justification for inclusion of such participants.  *Points to Consider:  *The PI has provided sufficient information to describe any processes that might be necessary to protect vulnerable populations. Each population and protocol has different issues, but things to consider are privacy, confidentiality, and the procedures proposed by the PI.  *Will any special physiological, psychological, or social characteristics of the subject group pose special risks for them?  *If the subjects are susceptible to pressures, are there mechanisms that might be used to reduce the pressures or minimize their impact?  *If you are unsure about this population or have questions, please contact the IRB office prior to the meeting. An ad hoc consultant can be identified to help answer any concerns.  **Clear**  Investigator Resources and Responsibilities*  **Have all financial conflicts of interest for applicable investigators and study staff been managed, reduced, or eliminated in an acceptable manner?  **NA if there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.  **For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans based upon financial disclosures.  **For Val investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&D Committee determines ma	Clear	<ul> <li>Locked cabinets accessed only by the PI and study personnel</li> <li>Procedures eliminating linkage of data to unique identifiers</li> <li>Statistical strategies</li> <li>Certificate of confidentiality</li> <li>Ethical editing of qualitative descriptions</li> </ul>
None of the participants are likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence.  Additional safeguards been included in the study to protect the rights and welfare of participants likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence.  **Select the vulnerable population and consider whether additional safeguards have been included to protect their rights and welfare.  **You may refer to the Vulnerable Population page of the application to view the investigator's justification for inclusion of such participants.  **Points to Consider:**  **The PI has provided sufficient information to describe any processes that might be necessary to protect vulnerable populations. Each population and protocol has different issues, but things to consider are privacy, confidentiality, and the procedures proposed by the PI.  **Will any special physiological, psychological, or social characteristics of the subject group pase special risks for them?  **If the subjects are susceptible to pressures, are there mechanisms that might be used to reduce the pressures or minimize their impact?  **If you are unsure about this population or have questions, please contact the IRB office prior to the meeting. An ad hoc consultant can be identified to help answer any concerns.  **Clear**  Investigator Resources and Responsibilities*  **Wes**  No.  No.  No.  No.  No.  No.  No.  N	Vulnerabl	le Populations
Additional safeguards been included in the study to protect the rights and welfare of participants likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence.  * Select the vulnerable population involved in the research below. Not all vulnerable populations are listed below. You may identify another vulnerable population and consider whether additional safeguards have been included to protect their rights and welfare.  * You may refer to the vulnerable Population page of the application to view the investigator's justification for inclusion of such participants.  * Points to Consider:  * The Plass provided sufficient information to describe any processes that might be necessary to protect vulnerable populations. Each population and protocol has different issues, but things to consider are privary, confidentiality, and the procedures proposed by the Pl.  * Will any special physiological, psychological, or social characteristics of the subject group pose special risks for them?  * If you are unsure about this population or have questions, please contact the IRB office prior to the meeting. An ad hoc consultant can be identified to help answer any concerns.  **Clear**  **Location**  **Location**  **Location**  **Location**  **Location**  **Investigator**  **Location**  **Locat		·
Select the vulnerable population involved in the research below. Not all vulnerable populations are listed below. You may identify another vulnerable population and consider whether additional safeguards have been included to protect their rights and welfare.  You may refer to the Vulnerable Population page of the application to view the investigator's justification for inclusion of such participants.  Points to Consider:  The PI has provided sufficient information to describe any processes that might be necessary to protect vulnerable populations. Each population and protocol has different issues, but things to consider are privacy, confidentiality, and the procedures proposed by the PI.  Will any special physiological, psychological, or social characteristics of the subject group pose special risks for them?  If the subjects are susceptible to pressures, are there mechanisms that might be used to reduce the pressures or minimize their impact?  If you are unsure about this population or have questions, please contact the IRB office prior to the meeting. An ad hoc consultant can be identified to help answer any concerns.  Clear  Investigator Resources and Responsibilities  Yes  No  No  No  Point there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.  For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of Interest Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.  For VA Investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?  Do the investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?  Point investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?	_	• • •
<ul> <li>vulnerable population and consider whether additional safeguards have been included to protect their rights and welfare.</li> <li>You may refer to the Vulnerable Population page of the application to view the investigator's justification for inclusion of such participants.</li> <li>Points to Consider:         <ul> <li>Phints to Consider:</li> <li>The IP has provided sufficient information to describe any processes that might be necessary to protect vulnerable populations. Each population and protocol has different issues, but things to consider are privacy, confidentiality, and the procedures proposed by the IP.</li> <li>Will any special physiological, psychological, or social characteristics of the subject group pose special risks for them?</li> <li>If the subjects are susceptible to pressures, are there mechanisms that might be used to reduce the pressures or minimize their impact?</li> <li>If you are unsure about this population or have questions, please contact the IRB office prior to the meeting. An ad hoc consultant can be identified to help answer any concerns.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Clear         <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA</li> <li>Clear</li> </ul> </li> <li>Have all financial conflicts of interest for applicable investigators and study staff been managed, reduced, or eliminated in an acceptable manner?</li> <li>N/A if there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.</li> <li>For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of Interest Committee determines management plans as attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&amp;D Committee determines management plans as attached to the Documents and Interest management plans as attached to the Doc</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>The PT has provided sufficient information to describe any processes that might be necessary to protect vulnerable populations. Each population and protocol has different issues, but things to consider are privacy, confidentiality, and the procedures proposed by the PT.</li> <li>Will any special physiological, psychological, or social characteristics of the subject group pose special risks for them?</li> <li>If the subjects are susceptible to pressures, are there mechanisms that might be used to reduce the pressures or minimize their impact?</li> <li>If you are unsure about this population or have questions, please contact the IRB office prior to the meeting. An ad hoc consultant can be identified to help answer any concerns.</li> <li>Clear</li> <li>Investigator Resources and Responsibilities</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Clear</li> <li>WAl if there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.</li> <li>For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest.</li> <li>For VI Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of interest Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.</li> <li>For VI Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&amp;D Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.</li> <li>Positic Concerns:</li> <li>If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."</li> </ul>	•	vulnerable population and consider whether additional safeguards have been included to protect their rights and welfare. You may refer to the Vulnerable Population page of the application to view the investigator's justification for inclusion of such participants.
If the subjects are susceptible to pressures, are there mechanisms that might be used to reduce the pressures or minimize their impact?      If you are unsure about this population or have questions, please contact the IRB office prior to the meeting. An ad hoc consultant can be identified to help answer any concerns.  Clear  Investigator Resources and Responsibilities      Yes     No     No     No     No     No     No     No     Clear  Investigator Resources and Responsibilities  Have all financial conflicts of interest for applicable investigators and study staff been managed, reduced, or eliminated in an acceptable manner?  **N/A if there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.**  **For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of Interest management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&D Committee determines management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&D Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.  **Do the investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?  **Do the investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?  **Page Concerns:**  If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."	0	<ul> <li>The PI has provided sufficient information to describe any processes that might be necessary to protect vulnerable populations.</li> <li>Each population and protocol has different issues, but things to consider are privacy, confidentiality, and the procedures proposed</li> </ul>
Clear  Investigator Resources and Responsibilities  Yes		If the subjects are susceptible to pressures, are there mechanisms that might be used to reduce the pressures or minimize their
Investigator Resources and Responsibilities  Yes No No N/A Clear  **N/A If there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.  **For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of Interest Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.  **For VA Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&D Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.  **Do the investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?  **Specific Concerns:**  If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."  **Resolutions to Concerns:**		
Yes   No   No   N/A   Clear   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N	Clear	
manner?  No NA NA Clear  N/A if there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.  For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of Interest Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.  For VA Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&D Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.  Do the investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?  Specific Concerns:  If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."  Resolutions to Concerns:	Investiga	tor Resources and Responsibilities
<ul> <li>N/A Clear</li> <li>N/A if there are no investigators or study staff with financial conflicts of interest.</li> <li>For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of Interest Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.</li> <li>For VA Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the application. The R&amp;D Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.</li> <li>No Clear</li> </ul> Specific Concerns: If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None." Resolutions to Concerns:	_	
Specific Concerns:  If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."  Resolutions to Concerns:	O N/A	<ul> <li>For University of Utah Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans can be viewed on the study workspace on the Ancillary Approvals tab. The Conflict of Interest Committee determines management plans based upon financial disclosures.</li> <li>For VA Investigators, financial conflict of interest management plans are attached to the Documents and Attachments page of the</li> </ul>
Specific Concerns:  If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."  Resolutions to Concerns:	O No	Do the investigators and study staff have the appropriate background, experience, and resources to conduct the research?
If any, explain any concerns you may have and WHY they are of concern. You must be very specific. If you have none, please state "None."  Resolutions to Concerns:		oncorne:
	•	

<b>REMINDER:</b> If you need more information than is in the current application to resolve issues related to the approval criteria, the IRB encourages you to contact the PI before the Board meeting. Your IRB coordinator can contact the PI on your behalf (if you wish to remain anonymous).
<b>DETERMINATION:</b> Are the approval criteria met?
O Yes.
Yes, if the above stipulation is met.
O No.
Clear

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Criteria for Approval •

Attachments:

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Final Decision ▼

• Refer to guidance "Categories of Action" section in the IRB Member Handbook.  Approved as submitted Approved with changes/clarifications reviewed by IRB chairman or designee Tabled Disapproved Clear  Continuing Review: If you are reviewing an amendment, proceed to "Final Comments" below.
<ul> <li>Approved as submitted</li> <li>Approved with changes/clarifications reviewed by IRB chairman or designee</li> <li>Tabled</li> <li>Disapproved</li> <li>Clear</li> </ul> Continuing Review:
Approved with changes/clarifications reviewed by IRB chairman or designee Tabled Disapproved Clear  Continuing Review:
Approved with changes/clarifications reviewed by IRB chairman or designee Tabled Disapproved Clear  Continuing Review:
Obisapproved Clear Continuing Review:
Clear  Continuing Review:
Continuing Review of research should be conducted by the IRB at intervals appropriate to the degree of risk and not less than once per year. Please select how often this study should be reviewed:
IRB Administrator Recommendation: 1 Year
Other (if applicable):
A study can be approved for up to 2 years when it is <b>minimal risk</b> AND it <b>is not</b> initiated, funded, or supported by the U.S. Government. U.S Government includes groups like the VA, DHHS, NIH, FDA. Click Help for the full list of government agencies. Also, studies involving UPDB may not be approved for 2 years.  HELP?
○ 6 Months
○ 1 Year
O 2 Years
Other Clear
If Other: Please explain (example: after first 5 participants enrolled, for a period of 4 months):
The IRB may consider the following factors when deciding to increase the frequency of continuing review:
<ol> <li>Whether the study involves new or novel therapeutic modalities, drugs, biologics, or significant risk medical devices</li> <li>The degree of uncertainty regarding the risks involved</li> <li>The vulnerability of the subject population</li> </ol>
4. The experience of the investigators in conducting the research
<ul><li>5. The IRB's previous history with the investigators</li><li>6. The projected rate of enrollment</li></ul>
7. Other situations where the IRB determines it is appropriate to conduct review more frequently than annually
Final Comments:

Add Version Date Created Date Modified Name There are no items to display **Please Note:** All studies also undergo administrative review by at least two IRB staff members. The revision letter sent to the investigator will contain reviewer comments, comments from the board discussion, as well as the staff members' comments.

<< Back

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Final Decision ▼

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Disapproval Criteria ▼

Finish

The risks to	participate are not minimized.
Exar	mples:
	<ul> <li>The study is poorly or improperly designed such that meaningful conclusion cannot be derived.</li> </ul>
•	Unnecessary risks are created.
Risks outwo	eigh the benefits to participants or society.
Selection o	f subjects is inequitable through biased recruitment, enrollment, or coercive compensation.
Procedures	for obtaining and documenting informed consent are inadequate.
The monito	oring plan to ensure the safety of participants is inadequate.
<ul><li>Participant</li></ul>	privacy and/or confidentiality are not adequately protected.
Vulnerable	populations are likely to experience coercion or undue influence.
The study	violates any laws or regulations of the United States, the state of Utah, or the University of Utah

<< Back

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Disapproval Criteria -

Finish

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Expedited - Continuing Review ▼

Finish

## Continuing Review (Renewal) Application - Expedited Review

If th	i <b>tructions:</b> ne continuing review has an amendment that changes the category(ies) under which this study may be expedited in the future, select all ropriate categories.
	e IRB Administrator selected the following category:  egory  Description
	egory Description ere are no items to display
All	of the following must be true in order for the application to be eligible for expedited review:
1.	The research falls into one or more of the categories below.  True False Clear
2.	The research or remaining research involve no more than minimal risk to the participants AND/OR the research falls into Category 8(b) outlined below.  True False Clear
3.	The research or the remaining research procedures do not involve procedures where the identification of the subjects or their responses will place them at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to their financial standing, employability, insurability, reputation, or be stigmatizing, unless reasonable and appropriate protections will be implemented so that risks related to invasion of privacy and breach of confidentiality are no greater than minimal AND/OR the research falls into Category 8(b) outlined below.  True False Clear
4.	The research is not classified (i.e. research is not subject to government secrecy laws).  True False Clear
5.	Please indicate all of the categories for which this research qualifies.
	Clinical studies of drugs and medical devices only when one of the following conditions is met.
	a. Research on drugs for which an investigational new drug application (21 CFR Part 312) is not required. b. Research on medical devices for which one of the following is true: i. An investigational device exemption application (21 CFR Part 812) is not required ii. The medical device is cleared/approved for marketing and the medical device is being used in accordance with its cleared/approved labeling.
	Collection of blood samples by finger stick, heel stick, ear stick, or venipuncture as follows:  a. From healthy, nonpregnant adults who weigh at least 110 pounds. For these subjects, the amounts drawn may not exceed 550 ml in an 8 week period and collection may not occur more frequently than 2 times per week;  b. From other adults and children, considering the age, weight, and health of the subjects, the collection procedure, the amount of blood to be collected, and the frequency with which it will be collected. For these subjects, the amount drawn may not exceed the lesser of 50 ml or 3 ml per kg in an 8 week period and collection may not occur more frequently than 2 times per week.
	Category Prospective collection of biological specimens for research purposes by noninvasive means.  Click on the Category to See Examples
	Collection of data through noninvasive procedures (not involving general anesthesia or sedation) routinely employed in clinical Category practice, excluding procedures involving x-rays or microwaves. Where medical devices are employed, they must be cleared/approved for marketing.  Click on the Category to See Examples
	Usew Stategory Research involving materials (data, documents, records, or specimens) that have been collected, or will be collected solely for nonresearch purposes (such as medical treatment or diagnosis).
	Category  Collection of data from voice, video, digital, or image recordings made for research purposes.
	Category Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior (including, but not limited to, research on perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior) or research employing

	survey, interview, oral history, focus group, program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies.
	Continuing review of research previously approved by the convened IRB where:
□ View Category	a. The research is permanently closed to the enrollment of new subjects;
View 8(a)	b. All subjects have completed all research related-interventions; and
	c. The research remains active only for long-term follow-up of subjects.
Category 8(b)	Continuing review of research previously approved by the convened IRB where no subjects have been enrolled and no additional risks have been identified.
	Continuing review of research previously approved by the convened IRB where the remaining research activities are limited data analysis.
	Continuing review of research, not conducted under an investigational new drug application or investigational device exemption where categories two through eight do not apply, but the IRB has determined and documented at a convened meeting that the research involves no greater than minimal risk and no additional risks have been identified.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Determination:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Determination:  The application	meeting that the research involves no greater than minimal risk and no additional risks have been identified.

Save | Exit | Hide/Show Errors | Print... | Jump To: - Expedited - Continuing Review ▼
Finish